American Journal of Public Law and Political Education, 2024, 1-10
https://publishingjournals.org/ajplpe
Volume: 2 | Number: 2 (2025) April

A Critical Appraisal of the Objectives of Administration Mechanism for Business Rescue Under Section 443 of the Companies and Allied Matters Act (CAMA) 2020

Hon Justice Abdulrahman Usman
Justice of the High Court of Federal Capital Territory, Abuja Nigeria

ThankGod Okeokwo
Faculty of Law Federal University Wukari

Christopher Linus Gadzama
Faculty of Law Federal University Wukari

***Correspondence :** Hon Justice Abdulrahman Usman
abdulrahmanusman@gmail.com

Received: 12-02-2025
Accepted: 23-03-2025
Published: 12-04-2025

Abstract
The nature of corporate organization is such that requires that it may need finances from sources that demand repayments on certain agreed terms; if it fails to repay as at when agreed, it tends to be said to be insolvent. Instead of allowing liquidation of such company because it is unable to repay its debt, administration can be used revive and if possible bring-back the company to its feet. Administration ensures the rescue of insolvent corporate organizations. This paper anchors on the objectives of administration as business rescue mechanism under the Companies and Allied Matters Act 2020. According to this study, the 2020 Companies and Allied Matters Act's introduction of administration has greatly raised the likelihood that rescue mechanisms will be used to bring failing businesses back to life. Suggestions include improving the environment for corporate or company rescues in the nation through appropriate implementation and educating stakeholders on the value of administration as stipulated in the Companies and Allied Matters Act 2020.

Keywords
Administration, Business-Rescue, Companies, Insolvency, CAMA-2020.

Introduction

One important piece of law that regulates business operations in Nigeria is the Nigeria Companies and Allied Matters Act (CAMA) 2020. It presents a number of goals intended to streamline and improve business administration. In the context of this essay, administration refers to the CAMA provision that shields a business from its creditors by putting safeguards in place to help it out of financial trouble. Because it facilitates procedures for an insolvency practitioner to assume administration of the business and maintain it as a going concern, it is a win-win method for the creditors as well as the company. A business that is in administration could be saved. In addition, administration serves as a rescue mechanism, holding businesses together while plans are developed to either save the company or sell the company and its assets when doing so will benefit creditors more than a complete liquidation would. All parties involved, including the commission, shareholders, and creditors, must be aware of the administrator's actions.

Profit is the primary goal of a firm that is established primarily for trading purposes. Companies must maintain their viability and financial stability in order to achieve this goal. Companies may need to look for alternate ways to raise cash in order to run their businesses effectively, thus they will need to raise money in ways other than shares. The business may use certain methods to raise money. The issuance of shares is one way to obtain money, but other methods like debt financing—which includes loans and mortgage-debentures—can also be used to help the business reach its goals.

Repayments delay or impossibilities may result in situation advisedly meant for receiver or administration as alternatives to rescuing the company from debts and the rights of its creditors which may put in doubt capabilities of those who had managed the company. Company rescue works towards the restoration of a company in difficulty, which leads to the preservation of the legal entity itself so that the company can continue operations after reorganization.

Conceptualizing Corporate Rescue

Divergent perspectives and ideas regarding the methods and goals of rescue operations in response to financially troubled companies have led to a wide range of interpretations of corporate rescue among policymakers, judges, and academics. Corporate rescue can be based on formal collective legal processes, informal methods (as agreed upon in contracts), or pre-packs, which are a combination of formal and informal procedures. According to Professor Belcher, a corporate rescue encompasses both formal and informal strategic rescue actions and is defined as a significant intervention required to prevent a company's eventual failure. On the other hand, the phrase is used narrowly to refer to the functioning of legal actions that provide enabling tools for saving financially troubled businesses. In contrast to the liquidation process, which focusses on winding up the company by stopping operations, realising its assets, and paying off its debts and liabilities, corporate rescue can be seen as an alternative to immediate liquidation of the company with the goal of preventing the company's death. It can also be a path to eventual liquidation (as is the case in the UK). Because its purpose is different, the liquidation process is not included in corporate rescue actions.

Methods

The doctrinal methodology is deployed for the data sourced and analysis done on objectives of administration in insolvency under the Companies and Allied Matters Act 2020. This is so because texts from article journals and other literatures were relied upon in comparing and reaching conclusions.

Results and Discussions

Rationale for Administration, Types of Rescue and Insolvency

Since a company's business operations are likely to be worth substantially more than the scrap value of its assets, the idea behind corporate rescue is to seize the excess "going-concern" value of the company's assets. As a result, assets that are part of an existing company are worth more than piecemeal liquidation value, which is the value realised when the assets and business are divided up and auctioned off individuall.

Unofficial Rescue

Informal rescue, sometimes known as "private restructurings" or "workouts," is a non-judicial procedure where a struggling business and its major creditors try to come to an understanding to reorganise and modify the business's financial commitments without the involvement of the courts. With the benefit of giving the debtor company and its creditors a more flexible setting in which to negotiate the resolution of a company's financial difficulties than under insolvency procedures, informal rescues are contractually based and do not require any kind of statutory intervention. This ensures privacy because there is likely to be little publicity regarding corporate failures, protecting the company's goodwill and reputation and keeping it safe. Informal processes also save time since they enable the business sale to be completed on schedule with minimal publicity-related disruption. These benefits are necessary to maintain the company's worth.

This process is not without its difficulties, though, as hostile litigation is frequently present and can seriously harm the company's ability to realise its full potential. Additionally, as informal rescues rely on contractually varying existing rights through compromise, debt forgiveness or postponement, or priority changes, it is necessary to obtain agreement because only contracting parties can be legally obligated. Therefore, by initiating formal insolvency procedures, dissident creditors can put an end to informal rescues. Because of this, the informal rescue is a delicate mechanism that depends on a high level of collaboration across a wide variety of stakeholders.

Official Rescue

Conversely, a formal rescue plan uses legal processes outlined in insolvency laws, wherein restructuring agreements and compromises are reached under the watchful eye of the court or a formal legal framework.68 In essence, they provide a collaborative approach where all impacted parties participate equally and are treated based on the seniority and amount of their credits. Chapter 18 of CAMA 2020 provides examples of formal procedures.

Rescue by Hybrid

The "pre-packs" process is frequently viewed as a hybrid corporate rescue method that

combines some of the formal procedure's characteristics with the benefits of private restructuring. The practice of prepackaged bankruptcy was initially implemented in the United States. They gave financially troubled businesses a workable alternative, enabling them to avoid the high cost and somewhat difficult negotiating process currently imposed by chapter 18 of CAMA 2020. The plans or arrangements for the sale of an insolvent business have been negotiated with potential buyers and approved by the major creditors before the administration procedure begins under this system of pre-packaged or pre-negotiated plans. The sale is completed nearly immediately after an administrator is appointed, and neither a creditors' meeting nor a court order are required. A court-appointed administrator has the authority to finalise pre-packs, and even the majority creditors' objections cannot stop pre-packs from being introduced.

Insolvency

An organisation is considered insolvent if it is unable to pay its debts. A business that cannot pay its debts is said to be insolvent. Section 572(a)5 addresses a company's incapacity to pay debts, stating that "a company is deemed to be unable to pay its debts if a creditor to whom the company is indebted in a sum exceeding two hundred thousand naira (N200,000), then due has been served on the company, a demand under his hand requiring the company to pay the sum due, and the company has thereafter neglected to pay the sum or to secure or compound for it to the reasonable satisfaction of the creditor." It should be possible to determine the exact amount that makes up the debt. According to the ruling in Nigeria Postal Services v. Insight Engineering Company Ltd., a debt action is filed when someone seeks to recoup a liquidated or agreed-upon amount of money that is owed to them.

Previously, under the repealed CAMA, insolvent companies were subjected to winding up, receivership, and arrangement and compromise. The process of winding up eventually terminates the life of such companies as it brings the activities of such companies to an end. A company that is wound up ceases to be a going concern and loses its legal personality status. But the enactment of CAMA 2020 made salient modifications and improvements on the ease of doing business in Nigeria. It equally introduced business rescue options aimed at reviving a financially moribund company. These newly introduced rescue mechanisms include Company Voluntary Arrangement and Administration. Companies that are struggling to meet their financial obligations can employ one of these to facilitate the recovery of their financial strength and to remain a viable going-concern.

Administration under CAMA 2020

Company Administration is one of the business rescue procedures introduced into the Nigerian corporate legal regime by CAMA 2020. Similar to the Chapter 11 proceedings of the US Bankruptcy Code and the Administration proceedings under schedule B1 of the UK Insolvency Act 1986, the administration of corporations is a more complex process. When the court is certain

that the corporation cannot or will not be able to pay its debts, it typically issues an administration order. By acting as a rescue mechanism for insolvent companies and giving them the opportunity to pay off their debts and restructure the business with the goal of reviving it while still operating rather than shutting it down, the Administration of Companies aims to prevent or postpone a company's winding up. The regulations of Chapter 18 of CAMA (sections 443 to 549 CAMA 2020) govern the administration of companies. Nigerian company administration procedures under CAMA66 are comparable to those in the United Kingdom.

Who May Appointed Administrator

A person may be appointed administrator of a company by the company or its directors, the holder of a floating charge, or an administration order issued by the court. A company's administrator has the authority to administer the company's affairs, business, and property in any way that may be required. The administrator should only be someone who is qualified to serve as an insolvency practitioner with regard to the firm. A lawyer as defined by the Legal Practitioners Act, a member of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of Nigeria, or any other professional association of accountants created by a National Assembly act is considered an insolvency practitioner. Whether or not the Court appoints him, an administrator is an official of the Court.

The administrator's duties include saving the business or a portion of its operations as a going concern, obtaining a better outcome for all of the company's creditors than would be expected if the business were wound up without first going into administration, or realising property to distribute to one or more secured or preferential creditors. However, the administrator's main goal in carrying out his responsibilities should be to save the company, unless he believes that this is not realistically possible or that pursuing another course of action, as specified in that subsection, will benefit the company's creditors more.

The Administrative Procedure

The Administration Process An administrator of a company must obtain a list of the company's creditors, send notice of his appointment to each creditor whose claim and address he is aware of, publish notice of his appointment in the prescribed manner, and notify the company of his appointment no later than fourteen (14) days. Additionally, the administrator must notify the Commission of his appointment and make the announcement public within fourteen (14) working days after the appointment date. A statement of the company's affairs must be provided to the administrator by one (1) or more pertinent parties upon notice. The administrator or the court may, upon application, cancel the obligation to provide the company's statement of affairs and extend the deadline.

The administrator will issue a statement outlining the recommendations for accomplishing the administration's goal. Within thirty (30) days of the company's entry into administration, the administrator must send a copy of the proposal statement to the Commission, each of the

company's creditors whose address he knows, and each employee whose address he knows. The company's creditors will be called to a meeting by the administration to discuss the recommendations, which might be accepted with or without changes. Any decision made must be reported by the administrator to the Commission, the Court, and any additional individuals the minister may designate. If the administrator's proposals are not approved, the court may stipulate that the administrator's appointment will end after a certain amount of time, postpone the hearing with or without conditions, issue an interim order, issue an order suspending a winding-up petition, or issue any other order the court thinks fit.

Objectives of Administration under CAMA 2020

a. Appointment

In contrast to receivership, which stipulates that only the debenture holders, debenture trustees, and the court may designate a receiver or receiver and manager, CAMA 2020 addresses a variety of classes of people with interests, including the company in distress, beginning with the appointment of an administrator. The court, the holder of a floating charge, the firm, or its directors may appoint an administrator in accordance with Section 443 (1) of CAMA 2020. Therefore, while the company or its directors and the holder of the floating charge may designate an administrator outside of court, the appointment of an administrator by court order may be made upon application to the court for administration by the company, its directors, one or more of the company's creditors, the Federal High Court designated officer designated to act as a receiver under CAMA 2020 or any other law, or a combination of the aforementioned individuals. The provisions in CAMA 2020's Sections 443 (1) and 450 (1) have the effect of enabling the consideration and consideration of the interests of several classes of people, including employees, debtor companies and their directors, secured and unsecured creditors, and others.

b. Purpose

Unlike receivership, which is an enforcement and debt recovery mechanism that requires the receiver or receiver manager to realise the security of the debenture holders, administration has the primary goal of corporate rescue. This is one way that administration differs from receivership. According to Section 444 of CAMA 2020, the overarching goal of corporate rescue is at the centre of administration and comes first among the other established goals that the administrator must take into account. Accordingly, Section 444 (1) of CAMA 2020 defines the "purpose of administration" as one of three goals: a. saving the business as a going concern; b. achieving a better outcome for all of the company's creditors than would be likely if the business were wound up without first going into administration; or c. realising property in order to distribute to one or more secured or preferential creditors.

Furthermore, Section 444(2) states that the administrator's main goal in carrying out his duties is to save the company, unless he believes that corporate rescue is not realistically possible or that pursuing a different course of action in the order of priority already outlined

in Subsection (1) will benefit the company's creditors more. Therefore, while selecting the goals in Section 444 (1) of CAMA 2020, the administrator must first take into account the goal in paragraph (1) (a); if this is not possible, he must take into account the second goal in subsection (1) (b). The administrator must carry out his duties in the best interests of the company's creditors collectively while taking into account goal paragraph (1) (a) and (b) of Section 444 (1) of CAMA 2020. However, in cases where the goal in subsection (1) (b) is also unachievable, the administrator must take into account the third goal in subsection (1) (c). He may carry out his duties with the goal in subsection (1) (c) only if he believes that: a. achieving either of the goals in subsection (1) (a) and (b) is not reasonably practicable; and b. he does not necessarily jeopardise the interests of the company's creditors as a whole.

Because a corporate shell alone will not qualify as rescue, the provisions of Section 444 (1) (a), (b), and (c) can be interpreted as requiring the administration process to save the corporation and as much of its operations as practicable. With the goal of saving the company as the top priority, the administrator must choose from a hierarchy of outcomes, which can be broadly summed up as saving the company, realising the assets for the benefit of all creditors, and acting for the benefit of secured creditors. Therefore, if goal (a) is no longer realistic, goal (b), which is to obtain a better value for all creditors, should be pursued. If goals (a) and (b) are still unattainable, goal (c) should be the last option. In the first place, the goal in Section 444 (1) (c) CAMA 2020, which requires the receiver or receiver and manager, who is considered an agent of the secured creditors, to act on their behalf and to their benefit and to realise the security of the secured creditors, is prioritised. This provision makes administration unique and a much more preferred route to receivership.

c. Prioritization of Interests

Realising the security of the person who appoints him (i.e., the holders of the debenture) and making sure that all preferential creditors and those who have priority over his appointor (creditors secured by fixed charges) are settled are the fundamental responsibilities of the receiver or receiver and manager under CAMA 2020. Since corporate rescue in the true sense is not part of the receiver's assignment, particularly the receiver and manager, who is not legally required to act in the company's best interests, liquidation usually occurs in Nigeria after the receiver or receiver and manager has completed his work. Furthermore, by giving the secured creditor the authority to designate a receiver or receiver and manager, receivership has consistently settled disputes amongst creditors in a fashion that plainly benefits the secured creditor. By assigning a management with overriding fiduciary duties to it, such a creditor might, if it so desired, effectively dominate the insolvency processes.

However, there is a significant change from this stance because the new administration system aims to address this issue by mandating that all creditors take part in the same process. In order to accomplish this, the administrator must call an initial creditors' meeting and formulate proposals, along with an explanation of why he believes one or both

of the higher priority objectives cannot be achieved and how he plans to accomplish his chosen objective. These proposals must be presented before a creditors' meeting. The administrator is not permitted to exclude any class of creditors from the process. Once more, before deciding which goal to pursue in a given situation, the administrator is not required to confer with creditors or even members. Therefore, if the administrator believes that the company has enough assets to pay all of its creditors in full, that the company has not enough assets to make a distribution to unsecured creditors other than through the provisions of CAMA 2020, or that neither of the two higher priority objectives—that is, the objectives in Section 444 (1) (a) and (b)—can be accomplished, he is not required to call a creditors' initial meeting. However, if creditors who own at least 10% of the company's debt ask him to call a meeting, he must do it in the required way and within the required time frame.

d. Uniformity

Whether or whether he is appointed by the court, the administrator is an officer of the court for carrying out his duties promptly and effectively. This is quite different from receivership, which considers a receiver appointed by the court to be an officer of the court. In cases where a receiver is appointed out of court, he is considered an agent of the person or people on whose behalf he was appointed. In cases where he is appointed a receiver and manager, he is considered to have a fiduciary relationship with the company and to act in good faith. This is the perplexing clause on receivership in Chapter 19 of CAMA 2020, which puts varying obligations on a receiver or a receiver and management.

e. Command

The business of the firm and its control are transferred from the directors to a receiver or receiver and manager selected by the holders of the debenture, who will operate in the appointor's best interests. Since he must always operate in the best interest of his appointors, the debenture holders, a receiver who also serves as a manager is helpless and not independent of them in carrying out his fiduciary obligation to the company, as was repeatedly stated in the paragraphs before this one. As a result, receivership deprives the directors of their ability to safeguard the company's interests, and a "puppet of the debenture holders" assumes control of the business, which always benefits the creditors because they have indirect control. In contrast to receivership, which restricts the appointment of a receiver or receiver and manager to the debenture holders, the corporation has the authority to designate an administrator.

This appears to have significantly resolved the issue of directors losing control because the appointment of an administrator upholds the principle that the goal of rescue should always come first and that the company will always be saved while safeguarding its interests, those of its directors, and those of its creditors. Additionally, the administrator's responsibility to prioritise corporate rescue, which comes first among other predetermined goals that the administrator must take into account, and to take into account the interests of all classes of creditors by calling an initial creditors meeting and developing proposals and

justifications for his decision to prioritise a particular goal has transferred control from the creditors to all stakeholders, including the company itself, by striking a balance between all interests while giving priority to corporate rescue.

Challenges of Administration as Rescue Mechanism for Company under Insolvency

The major challenge with administration in CAMA 2020 is the fact that it is newly introduced into the Nigerian corporate world even though other climes have been practicing it. Where a company ascertained that its debts are equal or higher than its revenue or capital, it would need resort to the option of administration to enjoy some level of moratorium and possibly rescue itself from total demise.

Conclusion

A corporate public enlightenment program that would explain the existence and advantages of these new insolvency procedures available under the current insolvency laws in Nigeria and provide the necessary guidance on their use should be considered by the corporate affairs commission, which is in charge of implementing the provisions of CAMA 2020, as well as other professional insolvency bodies. This would stimulate a surge in the adoption of these cutting-edge methods and assist businesses in adjusting to our laws' new position on bankruptcy concerns.

One of the notable advancements in the current corporate legal system in Nigeria is the implementation of corporate rescue (CAMA 2020). According to the pertinent sections of CAMA, the Company Voluntary Arrangement and Administration of Companies is one of the most well-known corporate rescue methods accessible for troubled Nigerian companies. It is determined that saving failing businesses from complete collapse and safeguarding creditors and other parties involved in bankruptcy matters are the main goals of administration.

References

A. Belcher, *Corporate Rescue*. London: Sweet and Maxwell, 1997.

B. Xie, "Corporate Rescue – The New Orientation of Insolvency Law," in *Comparative Insolvency Law: The Pre-Pack Approach in Corporate Rescue*, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2022, p. 18.

Building Trust: The Impact of Transparency in Business. MCDA CCG INC, 2024.

D. Brown, "Corporate Rescue: Insolvency Law in Practice," in *Wiley Series in Commercial Law*, J. Wiley, Ed., New York, 1996, p. 10.

E. Akintunde, *Nigerian Company Law*. Emiola Publishers, 2007.

F. O. Dada, R. O. Ojo-Solomon, and D. T. Eyongndi, "Comparative Appraisal of Nigeria's Companies and Allied Matters Act 2020 with Some Selected Jurisdictions," *IUS Law J.*, vol. II, no. I, pp. 51–68, 2023.

G. C. Okara, "Examining Corporate Governance in Nigeria under the Companies and Allied Matters Act 2020 and the Nigerian Code of Corporate Governance 2018: The Way Forward," 2021.

G. McCormack, *Corporate Rescue Law: An Anglo-American Perspective*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 2008.

Highlights of the Provisions Relating to Financial Statements Audit and Annual Returns in the New Companies and Allied Matters Act 2020.

I. Soliyev and B. Ganiev, "Key Factor Influencing Economic Development," *Eur. J. Res. Dev. Sustain.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 1–26, 2021.

I. Wilson and I. Ibiyemi, "Business Rescue and Continuity in Nigeria: Keeping the Lights On."

J. S. Tolulope and C. R. Elendu, "Company Voluntary Arrangements (CVA) & Administration of Companies: An Appraisal of the Innovative Corporate Insolvency Procedures under the Companies and Allied Matters Act 2020," *Niger. Bar J.*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 143, 2023.

N. C. Uzoka, "An Overview of the Companies and Allied Matters Act 2020," *Nnamdi Azikiew Univ. J. Commer. Prop. Law*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 72–81, 2020.

O. Ayodele and A. Ojekunle, "An Analysis of the Provisions of Companies and Allied Matters Act (CAMA) 2020," *Niger. Inst. Adv. Leg. Stud. J. Bus. Law*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 37–59, 2021.

O. Omotoye and K. Aina, "Administration or Receivership? Making the Right Choice towards Corporate Rescue."

S. Andersen, "Unveiling the Hidden Advantages of Transparency in Your Small Business," 2024.

S. Duru et al., "Companies and Allied Matters Act 2020: Reforming Provisions that Impact the Nigerian Business Community." 2020. [Online]. Available: https://banwo-ighodalo.com/resources/companies-and-allied-matters-act-2020-reforming-provisions-that-impact-the-nigerian-business-community.

S. Duru, T. Bashir, and T. Oyebanjo, "Nigeria: Companies and Allied Matters Act 2020: Reforming Provisions that Impact the Nigerian Business Community."

V. Finch, *Corporate Insolvency Law: Perspectives and Principles*, 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009.

"Companies and Allied Matters Act 2004." 2004.

"Companies and Allied Matters Act 2020." 2020.